## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

☐ 1. Amendments to the specification:

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/596,054	BREITENBACH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ERNESTO GARCIA	3679		

The amendment document filed on <u>27 May 2008</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:

	☐ A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include mark ☐ B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined		
	C. Other	•	
	<ul> <li>2. Abstract:</li> <li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFF</li> <li>B. Other <u>The changes to the amended abstract</u></li> </ul>		
	"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR  □ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawin showing amended figures, without marking  ☑ C. Other <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	he top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or 1.121(d). g correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings s, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.	
	C. Each claim has not been provided with the of each claim cannot be identified. Note: it number by using one of the following status (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered)	present.  xt of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status ne status of every claim must be indicated after its claim identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), j, (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended), not been presented in ascending numerical order.	
	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not sig See attachment	ned in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):	
For	r further explanation of the amendment format required by	37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.	
TIN	ME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:		
1.	Applicant is given no new time period if the non-complial filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	nt amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment on-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the	
2.	Applicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the <b>corrected section</b> of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.		
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136 amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Q		
	filed in response to a Quayle action; or	nt amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment amendment amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental	
		/Daniel P. Stodola/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3679	
15.6	Patent and Trademark Office	Part of Paper No. 20080826	

<sup>--</sup> The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324)

Application No. 10/596,054

Continuation of 3(c) Other: The remarks fail to describe in detail the changes made to each of the sheets. 37 CFR 1.121(d) states: "All changes to the drawings shall be explained, in detail, in either the drawing namendment or remarks section of meanements paper." The statement that the examiner's suggestions have been adopted is a general statement and does not comply with the rule because it does not explain in any detail what was changed on each of the replacement sheets, especially since all the sheets are said to be amended. Further, in light of the fact that no replacement sheets of drawings have been included, it cannot be determined whether or not the explanation provided could constitute a sufficient detailed explanation of the changes made.